

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 09/734,761 | 12/13/2000 | Cha-Mei Tang | 40797 | 4832 | | |
| 75 | 90 12/16/2002 | | | | | |
| Joseph J. Buczynski | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. Suite 600 | | | CHURCH, CRAIG E | | | |
| 1300 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | , | • | 2882 | *** | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002 | DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ••• | |
|-----|--|
| ₩- | |
| r | |
| | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | | |
| Onice Action Gammary | Examiner | | Group Art Unit | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sh | eet beneath the c | orrespondence a | ddress | |
| Period for Reply | | • | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | T TO EXPIRE | MONTH(S | S) FROM THE MAI | LING DATE. | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by definition or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by | a reply within the statutory ault, expire SIX (6) MONTH | minimum of thirty (30) | days will be consider | ed timely. | |
| Status | | | | | |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | • | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | | | • | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, | | | the merits is clo | sed in | |
| Disposition of Claims | · | | • | | |
| X Claim(s) 1-4, 6-72 | | is/are | pending in the app | olication. | |
| Of the above claim(s) | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | • | | | | |
| □ Claim(s) | | | | | |
| (X Claim(s) 1-4, 6-72 | | are su | bject to restriction | or election | |
| Application Papers | | requir | ement. | | |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra | wing Review, PTO-948 | i . | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is □ appro | ved 🗆 disapprove | ed. | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of | ejected to by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | , | • | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | | |
| □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. | of the priority docume | nts have been | | | |
| □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the | • | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | , | | • | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | |
| | | □ Intomious Cum | mary PTO-413 | | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper | er No(s) | Interview Sum | ,,, | | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Papel ☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 | er No(s) | | mal Patent Applica | ition, PTO-15 | |

Serial No. 734,761 Art Unit 2882

, f. . . .

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 6-36, 43-45 and 70-72, drawn to x-ray scatter grids and methods of using, classified in Class 378, subclass 154.
- II. Claims 37-42 and 46-69, drawn to photolithography methods, classified in Class 355, subclass 53.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made my ion milling.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and because they have acquired a separate status in the art as indicated by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (703) 308-4861.